

Quantum corrected equations of motion in the interior and exterior Schwarzschild spacetimes

Article (Accepted Version)

Calmet, Xavier, Casadio, Roberto and Kuipers, Folkert (2020) Quantum corrected equations of motion in the interior and exterior Schwarzschild spacetimes. *Physical Review D: Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 102 (2). a026018. ISSN 1550-7998

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/92494/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Quantum corrected equations of motion in the interior and exterior Schwarzschild spacetime

Xavier Calmet^{a,*}, Roberto Casadio^{b,c†} and Folkert Kuipers^{a,b‡}

^a *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex,
Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom*

^b *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Alma Mater Università di Bologna,
via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy*

^c *I.N.F.N., Sezione di Bologna, I.S. FLAG
viale B. Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy*

July 10, 2020

Abstract

In this paper we derive the leading quantum gravitational corrections to the geodesics and the equations of motion for a scalar field in the spacetime containing a constant density star. It is shown that these corrections can be calculated in quantum gravity reliably and in a model independent way. Furthermore, we find that quantum gravity gives rise to an additional redshift that results from the gradient instead of the amplitude of the density profile.

*E-mail: X.Calmet@sussex.ac.uk

†E-mail: Roberto.Casadio@bo.infn.it

‡E-mail: F.Kuipers@sussex.ac.uk

1 Introduction

In earlier work [1] we derived the leading quantum corrections to the interior and exterior region of the spacetime containing a constant and uniform density star, which are classically described by the well-known interior and vacuum Schwarzschild solutions. These calculations were done in the framework of the effective field theory for quantum gravity [2–8]. Corrections obtained in this way are the result of integrating out the quantum fluctuations of the graviton.

Remarkably, despite the fact that quantum general relativity is not renormalizable, it is possible to make predictions in quantum gravity. These predictions apply to any model for which Lorentz invariance is a fundamental symmetry, general relativity is the correct low energy limit, and for which quantum field theory methods remain applicable up to the Planck scale. The quantum gravitational effective action contains two parts consisting of local and nonlocal operators. While the Wilson coefficients of the local part are non-calculable without knowing the ultra-violet complete theory of quantum gravity, the Wilson coefficients of the nonlocal part of the action are calculable from first principles and depend only on the infrared physics which is very well understood as we know General Relativity.

Any unknown physics coming from an ultra-violet complete theory, would give rise to extra quantum corrections in the form of local operators. However, such physics only gives rise to contact interactions below the Planck scale. For example, integrating out Kaluza-Klein interactions would give rise to contact interactions. Furthermore, it was shown in [1] that corrections due to such contact interactions are subleading in the case of a star, assuming higher order curvature terms are not unnaturally large. The leading order corrections to the metric describing the spacetime around a star only depend on the nonlocal physics which is calculable from first principles and in a model independent way, without a detailed knowledge of the ultra-violet complete theory of quantum gravity.

In this paper we will use the results from Ref. [1] to derive the leading quantum corrections to the geodesics and the scalar waves in such a quantum corrected spacetime. A complication in these calculations may arise, since the metric corrections and curvature invariants, such as the Ricci scalar, diverge when the surface of the star is approached. These secularities indicate a breakdown of the perturbative approach that is used, and result from the fact that the interior Schwarzschild solution of general relativity contains a step-like discontinuity in the energy density at the star surface.

Since the Einstein equations in general relativity only involve second order derivatives of the metric, step-like discontinuities result in acceptable C^1 metrics.¹ Quantum gravity in the effective field theory approach, on the other hand, is an infinite derivative theory and it therefore requires C^∞ sources in order to produce continuous metrics. In other words, one should also determine a quantum correction to the matter source which makes it compatible with the effective quantum equations for the metric. However, quantum corrections to the uniform matter source appear really necessary only within a layer

¹Even Dirac delta-like discontinuities in the energy density produce continuous metrics, which leads to the well-known case of shell-like sources.

of thickness of the order of the Planck length around the surface, and are expected to remain phenomenologically negligible.

In any case, and although the non-smooth solutions of general relativity are not expected to be physical, they can still serve as important toy models. This is particularly true for the Schwarzschild interior, as it is an analytical solution of the Einstein equations, that could approximate compact objects.

While it seems difficult to find practical applications for our results, they are a further demonstration that model independent calculations are possible in quantum gravity at energies below the Planck scale. This is in sharp contrast to the standard lore which states that quantum gravity is a mystery: we do not have a theory of quantum gravity and thus quantum gravitational calculations are not possible. This is simply not true and our results help to reinforce this point. As such, our findings are very important as they further demonstrate that quantum gravitational calculations are possible at energies below the Planck scale.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we state the results derived in [1]; in section 3, we solve the radial geodesics perturbatively and derive the leading quantum corrections; in section 4 we turn to the radial modes of the scalar field and solve their equations of motion perturbatively to derive the leading quantum corrections; finally in section 5 we conclude.

2 The quantum corrected metric

We here consider the quantum corrected metric derived in [1], which is static and spherically symmetric and can therefore be written as

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + g(r) dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (2.1)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + (\sin\theta)^2 d\phi^2$. Outside the star of radius R_s (that is, for $r > R_s$), the metric functions are given by

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r} + \alpha_e(r), \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r}\right)^{-1} + \beta_e(r), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_e(r) &= \tilde{\alpha} \frac{2G_N l_p^2 M}{R_s^3} \left[2 \frac{R_s}{r} + \ln \left(\frac{r - R_s}{r + R_s} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(G_N^3), \\ \beta_e(r) &= \tilde{\beta} \frac{2G_N l_p^2 M}{r(r^2 - R_s^2)} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with ²

$$\tilde{\alpha} = 96 \pi (\alpha + \beta + 3\gamma) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = 192 \pi (\gamma - \alpha) . \quad (2.6)$$

In the stellar interior (given by $0 \leq r < R_s$), we likewise have

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{4} \left(3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 G_N M}{R_s}} - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 G_N M r^2}{R_s^3}} \right)^2 + \alpha_i(r) , \quad (2.7)$$

$$g(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2 G_N M r^2}{R_s^3} \right)^{-1} + \beta_i(r) , \quad (2.8)$$

where now

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(r) &= \tilde{\alpha} \frac{2 G_N l_p^2 M}{R_s^3} \ln \left(\frac{R_s^2}{R_s^2 - r^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(G_N^3), \\ \beta_i(r) &= \tilde{\beta} \frac{2 G_N l_p^2 M r^2}{R_s^3 (R_s^2 - r^2)} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^3) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, we assume throughout the paper that the Buchdahl limit [9] is satisfied, so that

$$R_s \geq \frac{9}{8} (2 G_N M) . \quad (2.10)$$

Let us remark that the Newton constant G_N is dimensionful and the displayed perturbation expansion is therefore a shorthand notation for two contributions, which are different in nature. In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}(G_N^3) = l_p^2 \mathcal{R} \mathcal{O}([2 G_N M / R_s]^2) + \mathcal{O}(l_p^4 \mathcal{R}^2) , \quad (2.11)$$

where l_p is the Planck length, and \mathcal{R} is the curvature scalar. The true perturbation parameters are thus the inverse of the radius of curvature in units of the Planck length and the compactness of the star, which are dimensionless as they should.

Furthermore, the quantum corrections become secular when $r \sim R_s$. This secularity can be avoided, if the layer

$$(1 - \delta) R_s < r < (1 + \delta) R_s \quad \text{with} \quad \delta \sim \left(\frac{2 G_N M}{R_s} \right) \left(\frac{l_p}{R_s} \right)^2 \quad (2.12)$$

is excluded, as discussed in [1].

Finally, we recall that the metric can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = f(r)(-dt^2 + dr_*^2) + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (2.13)$$

by introducing the tortoise coordinate

$$r_* = \int^r \sqrt{\frac{g(r')}{f(r')}} dr' . \quad (2.14)$$

This form is particularly useful for studying waves and will be employed in section 4.

²The values for α , β and γ can be found in [1].

3 Geodesics

Geodesic equations can be derived in a way similar to the derivation in a Schwarzschild metric. The quantum corrected star metric has four Killing vectors. Three of those are due to the spherical symmetry, and one due to time-invariance. We use two of these Killing vectors to fix the direction of the angular momentum along the polar axis by setting

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} . \quad (3.1)$$

The remaining two Killing vectors can then be written as

$$K^\mu = (\partial_t)^\mu , \quad (3.2)$$

$$R^\mu = (\partial_\phi)^\mu , \quad (3.3)$$

and can be used to define a conserved energy

$$E = -K_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = f(r) \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \quad (3.4)$$

and a conserved angular momentum

$$L = R_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = r^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\lambda} . \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, along geodesics the quantity

$$\epsilon = -g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \quad (3.6)$$

is also conserved. For massive particles we can set $\epsilon = 1$, as long as we identify $\lambda = \tau$ as the proper time along the geodesic. For massless particles $\epsilon = 0$ with λ an arbitrary affine parameter. By making use of the conserved quantities, we can rewrite Eq. (3.6) as

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{g(r)} \left(\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \epsilon\right) = \frac{E^2}{f(r)g(r)} . \quad (3.7)$$

Compatibly with the quantum corrections described in section 2, we will solve this equation perturbatively in the Planck length and the star compactness, by writing

$$r(\lambda) = r_c(\lambda) + r_q(\lambda) , \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$r_c(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_{0,m}(\lambda) \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s}\right)^m \quad (3.9)$$

represents the classical trajectory, and

$$r_q(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_{n,m}(\lambda) \left(\frac{l_p}{R_s}\right)^{2n} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s}\right)^m \quad (3.10)$$

is the quantum correction.

3.1 Exterior region

In the exterior region, $r > R_s$, we can write

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{L^2}{r^2} - \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \left(\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \epsilon\right) \frac{R_s}{r} + V_q(r) = \eta^2, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\eta = \sqrt{E^2 - \epsilon}$ and the effective quantum potential is given by

$$V_q(r) = E^2 \alpha_e(r) + \eta^2 \beta_e(r) - \frac{L^2}{r^2} \beta_e(r). \quad (3.12)$$

We notice that the term proportional to E^2 signals a violation of the equivalence principle, since the acceleration undergone by the particle following the geodesic depends on its energy. However, $\alpha_e = \mathcal{O}(G_N^2) \sim (l_p/R_s)^2$ in the sense explained after Eq. (2.11), and the size of this violation remains negligibly small throughout space.

The quantum corrections to the metric outside the star are larger near the surface. In order to study geodesics for which the quantum corrections are expected to be the largest, we impose the boundary conditions

$$r_c(0) = R_s, \quad (3.13)$$

$$r_q(\lambda_0 \rightarrow \infty) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

This somewhat unconventional choice of specifying the boundary conditions at two different points is motivated by the fact that one cannot set $r_q(\lambda = 0) = 0$, as the quantum corrections diverge at the surface of the star. Instead one can impose any boundary condition on $r_q(\lambda_0)$ for any $\lambda_0 > 0$, as this boundary condition does not impact the cumulative quantum corrections along a particular segment of the geodesic. For this one has to evaluate the difference $r_q(\lambda_2) - r_q(\lambda_1)$, for specified values λ_1 and λ_2 , and any such difference is independent of the specific choice of λ_0 .

For $L = 0$ one finds the leading classical solutions for an outgoing radial geodesic ($\lambda \geq 0$)

$$r_{0,0}(\lambda) = \eta \lambda + R_s, \quad (3.15)$$

$$r_{0,1}(\lambda) = \frac{\epsilon R_s}{2\eta^2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\eta \lambda}{R_s}\right), \quad (3.16)$$

and the leading quantum corrections

$$r_{1,0}(\lambda) = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,1}(\lambda) = & \frac{\tilde{\alpha} E^2 R_s}{2\eta^2} \left[2 \ln \left(\frac{2R_s + \eta\lambda}{R_s + \eta\lambda} \right) - 2 + \frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} \ln \left(1 + \frac{2R_s}{\eta\lambda} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{\tilde{\beta} R_s}{4} \ln \left[\frac{\eta\lambda(2R_s + \eta\lambda)}{(R_s + \eta\lambda)^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Notice that $r_{11}(\lambda)$ contains a secular term proportional to $\tilde{\beta}$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, which was expected, and occurs within the interval of Eq. (2.12).

However, the term proportional to E^2 never grows large even for $\lambda \sim 0$, and the violation to the equivalence principle therefore remains of order $(l_p/R_s)^2$ everywhere in $r > R_s$.

3.2 Interior region

In the interior region we can write

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{L^2}{r^2} - \left[\left(\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \epsilon\right) \left(\frac{r}{R_s}\right)^2 + \frac{3E^2(R_s^2 - r^2)}{2R_s^2} \right] \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} - \frac{3E^2(11R_s^4 - 14R_s^2 r^2 + 3r^4)}{16R_s^4} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s}\right)^2 + V_q(r) = \eta^2, \quad (3.19)$$

where we again set $\eta = \sqrt{E^2 - \epsilon}$ and the effective quantum potential now reads

$$V_q(r) = E^2 \alpha_i(r) + \eta^2 \beta_i(r) - \frac{L^2}{r^2} \beta_i(r). \quad (3.20)$$

Like in the exterior, we impose initial conditions suitable for studying radial geodesics near the surface, that is

$$r_c(0) = R_s, \quad (3.21)$$

$$r_q\left(-\frac{R_s}{\eta}\right) = A, \quad (3.22)$$

where we will fix the value of A at a later stage. For $L = 0$ one finds the leading classical solution for an outgoing radial geodesic ($\lambda \leq 0$) is given by

$$r_{0,0}(\lambda) = \eta \lambda + R_s, \quad (3.23)$$

$$r_{0,1}(\lambda) = \frac{\epsilon \lambda}{2\eta} - (3E^2 - 2\epsilon)(3R_s + \eta\lambda) \frac{\lambda^2}{12R_s^2}, \quad (3.24)$$

and the leading quantum corrections read

$$r_{1,0}(\lambda) = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

$$r_{1,1}(\lambda; x) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha} E^2 R_s}{2\eta^2} \left[2 \ln \left(2 + \frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} \right) - 2 + \frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} \left\{ \ln \left[-\frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} \left(2 + \frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} \right) \right] - 2 \right\} \right] + \frac{\tilde{\beta} R_s}{4} \left\{ 2 + 2 \frac{\eta\lambda}{R_s} - \ln \left[-\left(1 + \frac{2R_s}{\eta\lambda} \right) \right] \right\} + A. \quad (3.26)$$

Notice that $r_{11}(\lambda)$ also contains a secular term proportional to $\tilde{\beta}$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ which, like for the exterior expression (3.18), occurs within the interval given in Eq. (2.12).

3.3 Crossing the surface

By means of the previous results, we can analyze the discontinuities (of quantum origin) that the radial geodesics would encounter across $r = R_s$. Since we assumed initial conditions such that the classical radial geodesics r_c can be joined continuously across $r = R_s$, we just need to calculate the difference between the non-vanishing quantum exterior correction in Eq. (3.18) and the interior analogue in Eq. (3.26) at $r = R_s$, which yields

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} [r_{1,1}^{\text{ext}}(\lambda) - r_{1,1}^{\text{int}}(\lambda)] = \frac{\tilde{\beta} R_s}{2} [\ln(2) - 1] - A. \quad (3.27)$$

We then notice that the interior and exterior geodesics can be continuously connected by fixing A such that the boundary condition for the interior solution is given by

$$r_q^{\text{int}} \left(-\frac{R_s}{\eta} \right) = \frac{\tilde{\beta} R_s}{2} [\ln(2) - 1] , \quad (3.28)$$

provided for the exterior solution one employs the condition

$$r_q^{\text{ext}}(\infty) = 0 , \quad (3.29)$$

which was used to determine Eq. (3.18).

One could go further and check the smoothness of the solution, and find that there is a discontinuity in the first derivative that cannot be removed. However, this is not a physical effect, as it occurs in the interval (2.12), and is thus expected to be regularized once the interior Schwarzschild solution is smoothened like we wrote in the Introduction.

4 Scalar Fields

The equation of motion for a free scalar field Φ with mass μ is given by

$$\square \Phi = \mu^2 \Phi . \quad (4.1)$$

Since our metric (2.13) has spherical symmetry, we can separate the angular variables from the other coordinates and write $\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \Phi(t, r) S(\theta, \phi)$, where S can be decomposed in the usual spherical harmonics satisfying

$$\left(\partial_\theta^2 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta + \frac{1}{(\sin \theta)^2} \partial_\phi^2 \right) Y(\theta, \phi) = -l(l+1) Y(\theta, \phi) . \quad (4.2)$$

It is then convenient to consider one mode at a time and further separate time from the radial coordinate, to wit $\Phi(t, r) = \Psi(t) \Phi(r)$, where $\Psi \sim e^{i\omega t}$ and satisfies

$$\ddot{\Psi}(t) = -\omega^2 \Psi(t) . \quad (4.3)$$

Furthermore, using the metric (2.13) with the tortoise-like coordinate r_* yields the radial equation

$$\left[\partial_{r_*}^2 + \eta^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] u(r) = (V_c + V_q) u(r) , \quad (4.4)$$

where r_* is given as a function of r in Eq. (2.14), $\eta^2 = \omega^2 - \mu^2 > 0$ and

$$u(r) = r_*(r) \Phi(r) . \quad (4.5)$$

Notice that we have explicitly separated the effective potential into a classical part,

$$V_c(r) = [f(r) - \alpha(r) - 1] \left[\mu^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] \quad (4.6)$$

and a quantum contribution

$$V_q(r) = \alpha(r) \left[\mu^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] . \quad (4.7)$$

Like for the geodesics, we can expand the radial function in the same perturbative parameters of the quantum corrections to the metric and write

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) &= u_c(r) + u_q(r) \\ &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} u_{n,m}(r) \left(\frac{l_p}{R_s} \right)^{2n} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \right)^m , \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where u_c contains all the terms with $n = 0$.

We are particularly interested in how quantum corrections to the metric affect the s -waves with $l = 0$ originating near the surface of the star. On using the fact that V_c and V_q are of order (at least) G_N , we immediately obtain

$$u_{0,0}(r) = A \cos [\eta (r_* - R_s^*)] , \quad (4.9)$$

$$u_{1,0}(r) = 0 , \quad (4.10)$$

where A and R_s^* are integration constants which we will suitably set in the following subsections. The effect of the potentials (4.6) and (4.7) can then be determined perturbatively by treating them as sources acting on the unperturbed solutions defined by Eqs. (4.9) and (4.10).

4.1 Exterior Region

In the exterior region, the tortoise coordinate is given by

$$r_*(r) = r + 2 G_N M \ln \left(\frac{r}{2 G_N M} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_r^{\infty} [\alpha_e(r') - \beta_e(r')] dr' + C , \quad (4.11)$$

where we set the integration constant C so that

$$R_s^* = R_s + 2 G_N M \ln \left(\frac{R_s}{2 G_N M} - 1 \right) . \quad (4.12)$$

In order to determine the radial function in such a way that all corrections to the unperturbed solutions (4.9) and (4.10) vanish at some $r = (1 + \delta) R_s > R_s$, we impose the boundary condition

$$u[(1 + \delta) R_s] = A , \quad (4.13)$$

where A is the same constant as in Eq. (4.9) and δ is the same parameter that defines the excluded layer in Eq. (2.12).

We want to see how these modes behave for values of $r > (1 + \delta) R_s$. The radial equation (4.4) can then be rewritten as the integral equation

$$u(r_*) = A \cos[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] + \int_{(1+\delta)R_s^*}^{\infty} G(r_*, r'_*) [V_c(r'_*) + V_q(r'_*)] u(r'_*) dr'_* , \quad (4.14)$$

where the Green's function is given by

$$G(r_*, r'_*) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\eta} \sin[\eta(r_* - r'_*)] & \text{if } r'_* \leq r_*, \\ 0 & \text{if } r'_* > r_*. \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

In order to solve the integral equation, one needs to invert Eq. (4.11), which can be done perturbatively using

$$r_* - R_s^* = r - R_s + \mathcal{O}(2 G_N M / R_s) . \quad (4.16)$$

This is valid if the secularity is avoided, which is the case for $r > (1 + \delta) R_s$. The leading classical solution is then found to be ³

$$u_{0,1}(r) = \frac{\mu^2 R_s A}{2\eta} \left\{ \ln(R_s/r) \sin[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] + [\text{Si}(2\eta r) - \text{Si}(2\eta R_s)] \cos[\eta(r + R_s)] \right. \\ \left. - [\text{Ci}(2\eta r) - \text{Ci}(2\eta R_s)] \sin[\eta(r + R_s)] \right\}, \quad (4.17)$$

and the leading quantum correction

$$u_{1,1}(r) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha} \mu^2 A}{4\eta^2} \left(\left\{ \gamma_E + \ln \left[\frac{4\eta R_s (r - R_s)}{r + R_s} \right] - \text{Ci}(2\eta|r - R_s|) \right\} \cos[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right. \\ + \left[4\eta R_s \ln \left(\frac{2r}{r + R_s} \right) + 2\eta(r - R_s) \ln \left(\frac{r - R_s}{r + R_s} \right) \right. \\ \left. \left. - \text{Si}(2\eta|r - R_s|) \right] \sin[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right. \\ - 4\eta R_s [\text{Si}(2\eta r) - \text{Si}(2\eta R_s)] \cos[\eta(r + R_s)] \\ + 4\eta R_s [\text{Ci}(2\eta r) - \text{Ci}(2\eta R_s)] \sin[\eta(r + R_s)] \\ + \{ \text{Ci}[2\eta(r + R_s)] - \text{Ci}(4\eta R_s) \} \cos[\eta(r + 3R_s)] \\ \left. + \{ \text{Si}[2\eta(r + R_s)] - \text{Si}(4\eta R_s) \} \sin[\eta(r + 3R_s)] \right), \quad (4.18)$$

³Note we make use of Eq. (4.16) also in order to express the result in the coordinate r .

where Ci and Si are cosine and sine integrals. Notice that the results are independent of δ , since corrections due to δ are subleading by its definition in Eq. (2.12). Furthermore, at this order in perturbation theory, the divergences of the metric corrections (2.4) can be absorbed in the phase

$$\begin{aligned}
r_* - R_s^* &= r - R_s + 2G_N M \ln(r/R_s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_r^\infty [\alpha_e(r') - \beta_e(r')] dr' \\
&= (r - R_s) \left(1 + \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \right) + \left(\left\{ \tilde{\alpha} [\ln(2) - 1] + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{4} \ln \left[\frac{2(r - R_s)}{R_s} \right] \right\} R_s \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \tilde{\alpha} \left[1 + \ln \left(\frac{r - R_s}{2R_s} \right) \right] + \frac{3}{4} \tilde{\beta} \right\} (r - R_s) \right) \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \frac{l_p^2}{R_s^2} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(r - R_s)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{l_p}{R_s} \right)^4 .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

4.2 Interior Region

In the interior region the tortoise coordinate is given by

$$r_*(r) = r + \frac{r}{4} \left(3 - \frac{r^2}{R_s^2} \right) \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r [\alpha_i(r') - \beta_i(r')] dr' + D , \tag{4.20}$$

and D is chosen so that

$$R_s^* = R_s + G_N M . \tag{4.21}$$

We again impose a boundary condition, in order to fix the wave mode this time at $r = (1 - \delta) R_s$, to wit

$$u[(1 - \delta) R_s] = A . \tag{4.22}$$

Like in the exterior, Eq. (4.4) yields the integral equation

$$u(r) = A \cos[\eta (R_s^* - r_*)] + \int_0^{(1-\delta) R_s^*} G(r_*, r'_*) [V_c(r') + V_q(r')] u(r') dr'_* , \tag{4.23}$$

with the Green's function here given by

$$G(r_*, r'_*) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r'_* < r_* , \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \sin[\eta(r'_* - r_*)] & \text{if } r'_* \geq r_* . \end{cases} \tag{4.24}$$

Eq. (4.20) can again be inverted perturbatively using

$$R_s^* - r_* = R_s - r + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \right) , \tag{4.25}$$

which is valid inside the ball $0 \leq r < (1 - \delta) R_s$. The leading classical solution is then found to be ⁴

$$u_{0,1}(r) = \frac{m^2 A}{24\eta^3 R_s^2} \left\{ 3\eta(r^2 - R_s^2) \cos[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right. \\ \left. + \left[2\eta^2(r - R_s)(r^2 + rR_s - 8R_s^2) - 3(r + R_s) \right] \sin[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right\}, \quad (4.26)$$

and the leading quantum correction

$$u_{1,1}(r) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha} m^2 A}{4\eta^2} \left(- \left[\gamma_E + \ln \left(\frac{\eta|r^2 - R_s^2|}{R_s} \right) - \text{Ci}(2\eta|r - R_s|) \right] \cos[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ 4\eta R_s \ln \left(\frac{2R_s}{r + R_s} \right) + 2\eta(r - R_s) \left[2 - \ln \left(\frac{|r^2 - R_s^2|}{R_s^2} \right) \right] \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \text{Si}(2\eta|r - R_s|) \right\} \sin[\eta(r_* - R_s^*)] \right. \\ \left. + \{ \text{Ci}[2\eta(r + R_s)] - \text{Ci}(4\eta R_s) \} \cos[\eta(r + 3R_s)] \right. \\ \left. + \{ \text{Si}[2\eta(r + R_s)] - \text{Si}(4\eta R_s) \} \sin[\eta(r + 3R_s)] \right). \quad (4.27)$$

The results in the interior are again independent of δ , to leading order, and the divergences of the metric corrections (2.9) can also be absorbed in the phase

$$r_* - R_s^* = r - R_s + \left[\frac{r}{4} \left(3 - \frac{r^2}{R_s^2} \right) - \frac{R_s}{2} \right] \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \alpha_i(r') - \beta_i(r') dr' \\ = r - R_s - \left(R_s \left\{ \tilde{\alpha}[1 - \ln(2)] + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{4} \left[2 + \ln \left(\frac{|r - R_s|}{2R_s} \right) \right] \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2} \left[1 - \ln \left(\frac{2|r - R_s|}{R_s} \right) + \frac{3\tilde{\beta}}{8} \right] \right\} (r - R_s) \right) \frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \frac{l_p^2}{R_s^2} \\ + \mathcal{O}(r - R_s)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{2G_N M}{R_s} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{l_p}{R_s} \right)^4. \quad (4.28)$$

5 Discussion

In this work we calculated the leading quantum corrections to the geodesics and the scalar waves in a spacetime containing a constant and uniform density star. We have shown as a proof of principle that such calculations can be done in quantum gravity. Furthermore, we have found that the divergences at the surface of the star found in Ref. [1], do not cause serious issues for such calculations. In fact, these divergences can be kept well under control, if a Planck length layer around the surface of the star is excluded from the analysis. It is then possible to connect the interior and exterior

⁴We make use of Eq. (4.25) to revert to the coordinate r .

solutions in a continuous, but not differentiable way, between the boundaries of such a layer.

In the case of geodesics the quantum corrections only affect the velocity with respect to the proper time for a particle following the geodesic. For scalar waves on the other hand the quantum corrections give rise to both wavelike perturbations to the classical wave solution and to a phase shift of the classical solution. The latter could in principle lead to a measurable blueshift when the star surface is approached. However, this would require compact objects to have density profiles that are smoothened out within a Planck length interval around the surface of the star, and thus derivatives of the energy density that exceed the Planck scale. For any realistic matter distribution one would expect that all derivatives of the energy density remain below the Planck scale.

We conclude that neither the perturbations nor the phase shift are expected to be measurable for realistic density profiles with current or near future experiments. However, the latter effect is in fact very interesting, as it shows that quantum gravity introduces a redshift due to the gradient of the density profile, while the redshift in general relativity results only from the presence of mass.

Acknowledgments

The work of X.C. is supported in part by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (grant number ST/P000819/1). R.C. is partially supported by the INFN grant FLAG and his work has also been carried out in the framework of activities of the National Group of Mathematical Physics (GNFM, INdAM) and COST action *Cantata*. The work of F.K. is supported by a doctoral studentship of the Science and Technology Facilities Council. F.K. is grateful for the hospitality of the Università di Bologna, where most of this work was carried out.

References

- [1] X. Calmet, R. Casadio and F. Kuipers, “Quantum Gravitational Corrections to a Star Metric and the Black Hole Limit,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no. 8, 086010 (2019)
- [2] S. Weinberg, “Ultraviolet Divergences In Quantum Theories Of Gravitation,” in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, Cambridge, UK, 790 (1980).
- [3] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, “The Generalized Schwinger-de Witt Technique And The Unique Effective Action In Quantum Gravity,” *Phys. Lett.* **131B**, 313 (1983).
- [4] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, “The Generalized Schwinger-Dewitt Technique in Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity,” *Phys. Rept.* **119**, 1 (1985).
- [5] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Beyond the Schwinger-Dewitt Technique: Converting Loops Into Trees and In-In Currents,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **282**, 163 (1987).

- [6] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Covariant perturbation theory. 2: Second order in the curvature. General algorithms,” Nucl. Phys. B **333**, 471 (1990).
- [7] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, “Effective action in quantum gravity,” Bristol, UK: IOP (1992) 413 p
- [8] J. F. Donoghue, “General relativity as an effective field theory: The leading quantum corrections,” Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3874 (1994)
- [9] H. A. Buchdahl, “General Relativistic Fluid Spheres,” Phys. Rev. **116**, 1027 (1959).